

COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965

Reference No. 3/D/16,18 & 19

In the Matter of the Village Green at Tyler's Green, Chepping Wycombe, Wycombe District.

## DECISION

These disputes relate to the registration at Entry Nos. 1 in the Land Section and at Entry No.1 in the Ownership section of Register Unit No. VG.9 in the Register of Town or Village Greens maintained by the Buckinghamshire Countyl Council and are occasioned by Objection No.49 made by L. D. Hampton and noted in the Register on 20th October 1970, and the conflicting registration at Entry No.1 in the Land Section of Register Unit No.CL.11 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Council.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the dispute at Aylesbury on 15th October 1975. The hearing was attended by Chepping Wycombe Parish Council by its clerk Mr Crick and Mr Hampton appeared by Mr Hulett of Messrs Winter-Taylor Woodward and Webb.

By my decision dated 10 November 1975 I refused to confirm the conflicting registration at No.1 in the Land Section of Register Unit CL.11.

The disputes between Mr Hampton and the council relate to only a small piece of land, only large enough to park two cars, adjoining his house and shop, in an area which has been very substantially developed and he claimed to be the owner of that land and produced a conveyance whereby he claimed the land in question was conveyed to him. This small piece of land cannot in my view be used for indulgence in any lawful sports and pastimes and cannot be in my view part of the village green as defined by Section 22 of the Commons Registration Act 1965.

The status of the rest of the land in question as a Village Green and the ownership thereof by the Council is undisputed.

For these reasons I confirm the registrations modified so as to exclude the land claimed by Mr Hampton as identified on a plan to be agreed by Mr Crick and forwarded by Mr Hulett to the office of the Commons Commissioners.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on whichmnotice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this 8" day of December

1975

CA fello

Commons Commissioner