



COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965

Reference No. 209/D/298

In the Matter of Roborough Down, in
Horrabridge, Buckland Monachorum
and Bickleigh, West Devon and
South Hams District Council, Devon

DECISION

These disputes relate to the registration at Entry Nos. 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 to 15 inclusive, 17 to 22 inclusive, 25, 26, 28, 31 to 33 inclusive, 35, 37 to 47 inclusive (47 has been replaced by Nos. 167 and 168 and they by Nos. 180 and 181 and by Nos. 190 and 191), 50 to 53 inclusive, 56 to 66 inclusive, 68, 85 to 91 inclusive, 93 to 99 inclusive, 101 to 119 inclusive (No. 115 has been replaced by Nos. 174 and 175), 121 to 145 inclusive (137 has been replaced by Nos 171 and 172), 147 to 152 inclusive, 154, 156 to 160 inclusive in the Rights Section of Register Unit No. CL 93 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Devon County Council and are occasioned by Objections No. 538, No. 539, No. 1090 and No. 1091 made by Roborough Commoners Association and noted in the Register on 12 and 13 November 1970 and 11 September 1972, by Objections No. 540, No. 541, No. 542, and No. 543 made by Roborough Estate Trustees and noted in the Register on 10, 11, 12 and 13 November 1970, and by Objections No. 1032, No. 1033, No. 1034, No. 1035 and No. 1036 made by Maristow Estate Trustees and noted in the Register on 11 September 1972, and by the registrations at Entry No. 7 and No. 141 being in conflict.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the disputes at Plymouth on 24 May 1982 and 17 and 18 May 1983.

At the 1982 part of the hearing (1) the Hon Henry Lopes, the Hon George Lopes, Mr George Meyrick and Mr J Cooke-Hurle as successors in title of Lord Roborough were represented by Mr C M Farrer, solicitor of Farrer & Co, Solicitors of London; (2) Roborough Commoners Association were represented by Mr W J Wooton their Secretary; (3) Mr Peter George Seldon of Bedford House, Bidford Bridge, Horrabridge as successor in title of Lieutenant-Commander Thomas Emanuel on whose application the registration at Entry No. 1 was made, attended in person; (4) Mr Patrick Reginald Greeves and Mrs Sheila Anne Greeves on whose application the registration at Entry No. 15 was made, were represented by Mr D J Gunn articled clerk with Bond Pearce & Co, Solicitors of Plymouth; (5) Mr Noel Haddon, Mr Frank Bawden and Mr Derrick Porter as trustees of Yelverton Gold Club on whose application the registration at Entry No. 21 was made were represented by Mr N A Theyer, solicitor of Bond Pearce & Co, Solicitors of Plymouth; (6) Mr Luther Holden Alder Watson on whose application the registration at Entry No. 31 was made, was represented by Colonel Thomas John Emerson of Collismoer, Yelverton;



- 2 -

Commander Richard Brutton Ford and Mrs Vera Florence Ford on whose application the registration at Entry No. 35 was made were also represented by Colonel T J Emerson; (8) Colonel T J Emerson on whose application with Mr Thomas Lewis Creemer the registration at Entry No. 37 was made, attended in person on his own behalf and as representing his wife Mrs Rosemary Steeds Emerson as being the successors in title of himself and Mr T L C Clarke; (9) Mrs Daphne Barbara Piper whose application the registration of Entry No. 61 was made was also represented by Colonel T J Emerson; (10) Mr Henry Harvie Cole on whose application the registration at Entry No. 112 was made and who was concerned with Mr Arnold Henry Cole of Greenwell Farm, Yelverton as successor in title of the applicants for the registration at Entry No. 122, was represented by Mr P W Harker, solicitor, of Lillingham & Crocker, Solicitors of Plympton; (11) Mr Ernest Frederick Palmer on whose application the registration at Entry No. 116 was made, attended in person; (12) the said Mr Arnold Henry Cole of Greenwell Farm, Yelverton as successor in title with the said Mr H H Cole of Mr John E Franklin and Mrs Jane C Franklin on whose application the registration of Entry No. 122 was made, was also represented by Mr P W Harker; (13) Mrs Felicity Kathleen Burton as widow and successor in title of Mr James Edward Burton on whose application the registration at Entry No. 125 was made, was also represented by Mr D J Gunn; (14) Mr Ivor Phillips on whose application the registrations at Entry Nos 143 and 144 were made attended in person.

At the 1982 part of the hearing, I recorded what was then agreed as set out in the First Schedule thereto. Owing to the other business, I was not able to give any other consideration to this Matter. At the 1983 part of the hearing as before: the Roborough Commons Association were represented by Mr W J Wotton, and Mr E F Palmer and Mr I Phillips attended in person. Additionally Mr William Henry Tuckett as owner of Ludbrook and successor of the Executors of Philip Debell Tuckett (the said Mr Tuckett and his brother also Philip Debell Tuckett being the other) on whose application the registration at Entry No. 136 was made, and as owner of Berrator Farm and successor to the said Executors on whose application the registration at Entry No. 137 was made, and as successor of his said brother whose application registration at Entry No. 171 (replacing in part No. 137) made, was represented by Mr A R Colville, chartered surveyors of F Michelmore Hughes, Chartered Surveyors of Tavistock; and Mr Arthur Cole on whose application the registration at Entry No. 95 was made, attended in person.

The land ("the Unit Land") in this Register Unit is a tract of about 2,043 acres: from its most northerly parts by the River Walkham to its most southerly parts not far from Roborough and Bickleigh is about 5 miles; for the most part it is between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ of a mile wide, but it widens at its north end to a little under 2 miles where it extends eastwards to the junction of the River Walkham with the River Tavy, and widens also at its south end to a little under $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles; the A386 road (Plymouth to Tavistock) longitudinally crosses it, and against such road the Unit Land is fenced, so that in the result it is (at least for many purposes) 2 large fields in places crossed by side roads conveniently protected from the A386 road by cattle grids. The registrations in the Rights Section originally numbered 165; of these 120 are disputed as above mentioned, 37 (No. 72 having been replaced by Nos 177 and 178) have become final, and 8 have been cancelled without being replaced by any other registration. In the Ownership Section "Maristow Estate (The Right Honourable Lord Roborough)" is registered as owner of all the Unit Land except the part lettered "A" on the Register Map (being parts of the Plymouth and Devonport Leats), and of this part the Lord Mayor Aldermen and Citizens of the



- 3 -

City of Plymouth are registered as owners. The grounds of the said Objections are stated in the Second Schedule hereto.

Before the 1982 hearing, and between it and the 1983 hearing were sent to the office of the Commons Commissioners the documents specified in Parts I and II of the Third Schedule hereto.

At the 1983 hearing, Mr Colville and Mr Wotton said that about the registrations at Entry Nos 136 and 137 they were agreed as stated in the Fourth Schedule hereto.

Next Mr Wotton who has been Secretary of the Roborough Commoners Association since 1947 gave oral evidence in the course of which he said (in effect):- The Association has been in existence at least since the early 1900s, back to which period they have records. The qualification for membership of the Association is either as being a tenant of the Maristow Estates (mostly in Bickleigh) or as owner or tenant of other land which has grazing rights. The policy of the Association is to control the grazing (that is control the number of stock which are grazed on the Common) which over the years has been done by preventing others (without rights) claiming what is known as a straying right and to strengthen that course they had taken successful legal action. The basis of the Objections made by the Association was to prevent over-stocking and to exclude persons who had no rights. The present fencing on the A386 road was put up about 12 or 14 years ago, so for grazing purposes the Unit Land is in effect 2 fields. The Association has kept a list of Commoners being farms known by the Association to have rights (some owners and/or tenants are not members). The Association arranges an annual pony drift for the third Wednesday in September; the males are taken away for sale and the females branded (separate mark for each owner) and put back. Sheep are grazed on the Unit Land mainly all the year round. Cattle are grazed mainly during the summer months only. The Unit Land is not eligible for the Hill Cattle grant although some cattle off eligible land (eg the Forest) are put on the Unit Land with a permit from the Ministry.

Next Mr Phillips gave oral evidence in support of the registrations at Entry Nos 143 and 144, being for Turbary, Estovers, Piscary, Take stone and Graze 68 stock units (NFU scale), the rights specified at Entry No. 143 being stated to be attached to Ash Mill and Part Ash Lands, Grenofen in Whitchurch, to 8 Beechfield Avenue, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum, to The Corner Yelverton also in Buckland Monachorum and to 1 and 1A Weston Park Road in Plymouth, and at Entry No. 144 being stated as in gross "Man of Devon". He said (in effect):- He owns a property on the Common itself being (part of) that known as "The Corner". At the time of registration The Corner was right on the Common because there were then no fences erected; the original intention was to erect the fence around the north (the main road to Tavistock) but on his insistence the route (of the fence) was changed, and the effect of the change was to bring his property outside the Common fencing. The Corner is a collection of houses the portion of which he owns being a cafe and shop with a store (previously a stable). Before the fences were erected the whole of The Corner was "on the Common". He considered that he had a right of common because everyone with a property on a common or bordering on a common has for many hundreds of years enjoyed common rights on the common. A major part of his property (in addition to that at The Corner) is that at Ash Mill and Ash Lands being about $\frac{1}{2}$ a mile to the north of the Unit Land. Also until the fence was erected, which was after



- 4 -

gistration, cattle moved freely from the Dousland area and the Shaugh area onto the Unit Land. He had not had his stock on the Unit Land itself; he never attempted to have stock on the Unit Land for the simple reason that the Unit Land was so grossly over-stocked that it would be starvation to put animals there. The Unit Land has been the subject of a lot of publicity in recent years because of starving animals. The great emphasis during these proceedings (meaning not only those about the Unit Land but also those held by myself under the Commons Registration Act 1965 about other lands in the Dartmoor National Park) has been the views of the Commoners Association; he submitted that such Associations are simply a collection of larger users of the Moor and/or Commons who have attempted to squeeze out the cottagers and smaller land occupiers; while he agreed that the Maristow Estate own a considerable amount of Roborough Down it does not by any means own all the land around it. He contended that his registration was of a right which had been enjoyed for many centuries by all local people in the Commons of Dartmoor of which he considers the Unit Land to be one; the whole of the Commons of Devon is one common; Roborough Down was one of the Commons of Devon and those entitled (local people) could use the most convenient part as they thought fit. He no longer owned 8 Beechfield Avenue but he was concerned as far as the registration related to The Corner and his Ash Mill and part Ash Lands; such last mentioned lands are in a Venville Parish. He was quite prepared to drop his claim for the scary and quite prepared to reduce his claim on grazing.

In answer to questions by Mr Wotton, Mr Phillips said (in effect):- That although his lands in Whitchurch were $\frac{1}{2}$ a mile away they qualified for grazing on the Unit Land because the Unit Land is part of the Commons of Devon and they are "all common"; The Corner (the store, the cafe and the garage and the back) is on the Common. Until the fence was put up animals grazing on the Common came right up to his property (the animals were not his stock). The part of the Unit Land next to The Corner was in 1941/42 requisitioned as an airfield. The main road never went past his property although before the A386 road was realigned it may have been near to

Mr Wotton (continuing his evidence) said (in effect):- He was born in 1916 and had since 1932 (except for the war years) lived in the area (Roborough). He knew Mr Phillips' property at The Corner, now an electrical shop. The Corner is a shopping area at the corner of which is a paper shop. The old A386 road went by his shop; Mr Phillips' shop fronted on a footpath leading at right angles off the old A386 road; so between the (grazeable part of the) common and his shop there was a (public) footpath. The present fencing is a protection to the shops at The Corner from the animals grazing on the Common. The Commoners Association objected to Mr Phillips' registrations because grazing rights had never been exercised from his property at The Corner.

Mr Phillips questioned Mr Wotton about the possibility of rights of common extending outside the fence, about an alleged dispute about the fence between the County Council and the Maristow Estate, about the pony drifts and about the Commoners Association Mr Wotton represented. Finally he submitted that the rights he was claiming had been enjoyed by the people of Devon for many centuries; their rights had not been lost because Commoners Associations who generally represented only larger farmers, particularly those grazing Blackfaced Sheep, and who threatened



- 5 -

taking people to Court for trespassing; the majority of those with rights were those who (at one time) kept a cow or 2 and who had a very little land. He was attempting a humble way to stand up for the rights of such persons (the peasantry).

Next (17 and 18 May) Mr Wotton went through each of the other registrations and I have summarised his observations in the Fourth Schedule "W:- ..."; including such Schedule some supplementary information supplied by Mr E F Palmer.

The evidence and contentions of Mr Phillips in support of the CL93 registrations at Entry Nos 143 and 144 were essentially the same (although not so detailed) as those by him put forward in January 1983 about Register Unit No. CL112 (Penn Moor and Stall Moor) and in April 1983 about Register Unit No. CL191 (Yennadon Down etc). About these Register Units, I have since this May 1983 CL93 hearing given decisions dated 2 March 1984 and 20 June 1984 about the CL112 and CL191 Units; in these decisions, for the reasons set out under the heading ("Man of Devon") I have rejected Mr Phillips' claims and refused to confirm registrations essentially the same as those at Entry Nos 143 and 144. The relevant part of my said two 1984 decisions should be treated as repeated herein. Accordingly, so far as Mr Phillips' claims are based on evidence of a general character not relating particularly to this CL93 Unit Land, my decision is that his registrations were not properly made.

There remains the question, whether the evidence before me did or could establish the rights claimed by Mr Phillips either by prescription at Common Law or under the Prescription Act 1932 or under a grant presumable in accordance with the law set out in the judgement in *Tehidy v Norman* 1971 2QB 528; this question as held in *De la Warr v Miles* (1881) 17 CD 534 I must consider notwithstanding that Mr Phillips made no submissions about it. There was no evidence that any rights of common appurtenant had been exercised. From the situation of the lands at Whitchurch, at Yelverton and at Plymouth in relation to the Unit Land it is unlikely that there ever could have been such exercise, and Mr Phillips did not at the hearing so suggest. As I understood him, he relied on the circumstance that the property he owned at The Corner was "on the Common". In my opinion the mere circumstance that a property is "on a common", meaning that its boundary on one side is also part of the boundary of a common, does not by itself establish that there is attached to the property a right to graze on the common. The circumstance that a property is "on a common" is relevant to the question whether there has been from the property any grazing as of right; from such circumstance in conjunction with the appearance of the property such grazing as of right may in some cases be inferred, for example, if the property is an agricultural unit convenient for the keeping of cattle and has leading off it to the common a gate apparently having been in existence for many years; and it may be possible to infer that what is presently being done has been done from time immemorial. But this is not such a case; Mr Phillips' property at The Corner is not such a property. As above recorded he said that no stock of his from it ever grazed on the Unit Land. He did not say how long he had been the owner, and I cannot find that he has been such since 1941 merely because he described the property as he had then known it. I decline to infer that animals from the property, merely because it at one time included a stable, graze on the Unit Land as of right.



The registration is of "68 stock units (NFU Scale)". From the description of The Corner as given by Mr Wotton, whose evidence I accept, I decline to infer that there has ever been from this property any grazing on the Unit Land to the extent of such number of units. I find that there has never been any grazing as of right on the Unit Land which could support such a registration or any other registration of grazing. As to the rights other than grazing, no evidence or argument was offered about turbary, estovers and taking stone.

Upon the above considerations my decision is that the registrations at Entry Nos 143 and 144 were not properly made.

As hereinbefore appears, as regards all the other registrations with which I am concerned there was at the hearing no dispute at all; generally I accept the evidence of Mr Wotton about them. The registrations have been objected to and it was for those concerned to support the registrations to offer evidence at least to the extent of the grounds stated in the Objections. I consider therefore that in the absence of such evidence I must refuse to confirm or at least modify the registrations so as to give full effect to the grounds for each and every Objection.

However at the hearing Mr Wotton, as summarised in the Fourth Schedule hereto, conceded that the grounds of the Objections in many cases went too far. About some of these concessions there was agreement, either made or produced at the hearing, and I consider that I can properly act on such agreement. I consider that I can also act on the concessions even although they were not agreed, as against those concerned to support the registrations who did not attend and were not represented at the hearing. Some of the concessions do not accord with the grounds of objection. I need not deal particularly with those the grounds of which were the rights do not exist, because no justification is needed for giving something to somebody who in the absence of a concession would get nothing. But I deal below particularly with taking stones and turbary.

Many of the registrations which included a right to take stones were only subject to Objection the grounds of which related either to turbary or to excessive numbers of animals only. Mr Wotton explained that on many parts of the Unit Land there are numerous stones lying on the surface which could for the convenience of those with registered rights be used to repair buildings on their property and whose removal from the Unit Land would do no harm to anyone, but there was also stone under the Unit Land the removal of which by quarrying for the purpose of rebuilding an entire farmhouse or other like building purpose would be harmful to grazing. Also the removal of some stone from some parts of the Unit Land (particularly formations contributing to the beauty of the landscape) would seriously interfere with the enjoyment of those who live nearby and of others, and was therefore undesirable. So Mr Wotton contended all the registrations of taking stone should either be avoided altogether or should at least be modified so as to limit the right to loose stones; those with whom the Commoners Association made an agreement, have withdrawn their right to take stones; but there were some who had neither agreed, nor attended or been represented at the hearing. In these circumstances I have treated the grounds of Objection as amended so as to put in question the right to take stone. Because persons concerned to support such a registration may not have attended or been represented at the hearing assuming their registration could not be questioned further than the grounds of Objection of which they had before the hearing, had notice, I give to such persons



- 7 -

liberty to apply to set aside the part of this decision relating to the right to take stone and for the hearing to be continued so that they can contest any such amendment and/or lead evidence in support of the registration; any such application should be made within the time limit and otherwise as hereinafter specified and is exerciseable only in respect of registrations to which none of the Objections numbered 539, 541, 1032 and 1090 is applicable.

Mr Wotton also explained that there were no rights of turbary over the Unit Land and any omission to object to any such rights was a mistake. Upon considerations similar to those stated in the preceeding paragraph I treat as amended so as to include turbary the grounds of any Objection relating to a registration to which there has been no Objection expressly or impliedly putting turbary in question and I gave a similar liberty to apply as is in such preceeding paragraph specified.

There was some discussion at the hearing as to what should be my decision as regards the registrations at Entry Nos 182 and 183 which replaced the registration at Entry No. 117, it being impossible on the information before me to say which parts of the land described in column 5 were in Buckland Monachorum and not in Meavy, or how the animal numbers specified in column 4 of Entry Nos. 183 and 183 were obtained from the numbers specified at Entry No. 117 or how Mr A R Bill when he signed the withdrawal relating to No. 117 specified as WJW/1 in Part IV of the Third Schedule was to be applied to Entry Nos. 182 and 183. It being so impossible, my decision is that both registrations were not properly made. But because those concerned to support the registrations may have thought that the said WJW/1 withdrawal was effective to make these registrations beyond controversy in part, I give to the persons concerned to support them liberty to apply to set aside the part of this decision relating to these registrations and for this hearing to be continued so that they can give evidence about them, such liberty to be exercised within the time limit and otherwise as hereinafter specified.

Because much of this decision relates to persons neither who attended nor were represented at the hearing, and is dependant upon agreements and statements about which there may be some mistake or error which ought to be corrected without putting those concerned to the expense of an appeal, I give liberty to apply to any person concerned, who might affected by such mistake or error. Any such application should be made within the time limit and otherwise as hereinafter specified.

For the reasons hereinbefore and in the Fourth Schedule hereto set out my decision as regards all the registrations is, stating its effect shortly, as follows:- I refuse to confirm the registrations specified in the Fourth Schedule hereto about which it is therein stated "CONFIRMATION REFUSED" and I confirm the registrations about which in the said Schedule it is therein stated either "CONFIRM without any modification" or "CONFIRM with the MODIFICATION that in column 4 ...", with the modification (if any) in respect of each such registration which is in such Schedule specified.



Any application made under any liberty to apply in this decision granted should be made within THREE MONTHS from the day on which this decision is sent out (or such extended time as a Commons Commissioner may allow) and should in the first instance be by letter to the Clerk of the Commons Commissioners stating the mistake or error and the applicants reasons for thinking it should be corrected. A copy of the application should be sent to any person who might be adversely affected by the application being granted and for their information to the County Council's Registration Authority. As a result of the application a Commons Commissioner may direct a further hearing unless he is satisfied that the error or mistake is obvious and all those concerned are agreeable. Of such further hearing notice will be given only to those persons who on the information available to the Commons Commissioner appear to him to be concerned with the registration in question. Any person who wishes to be given notice of any such further hearing should by letter inform the Clerk of the Commons Commissioners as soon as possible specifying the registration the further hearing about which he might wish to attend or be represented at.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

TURN OVER



- 9 -

FIRST SCHEDULE
(Agreements at 1982 hearing)

Entry No. 1; Lt-Commander Thomas Emanuel; Bedford House, Bedford Bridge, Horrabridge:- It was agreed between Mr P G F Seldon, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4 for "to graze six head of cattle" should be substituted "to graze 5 cattle or 5 horses or 25 sheep".

Entry No. 15; Patrick Reginald Greeves and Sheila Anne Greeves; Summer Leas, Yelverton:- It was agreed between Mr Gunn, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4, for "to graze 10 ponies or bullocks and 50 sheep" should be substituted "to graze 1 horse or 1 beast or 6 sheep".

Entry No. 31; Luther Holden Alder Watson; Edgemoor, Buckland, Monachorum:- It was agreed between Colonel Emerson, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4 "to take stones" should be deleted and that for "to graze 14 beasts" should be substituted "to graze 1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

Entry No. 35; Commander Richard Brutton Ford and Mrs Vera Florence Ford; Furzeleigh, Golf Links Road, Yelverton:- It was agreed between Colonel Emerson, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4 "Estovers, turbary, to take stones" should be deleted and that for "to graze 2 ponies" should be substituted "to graze 1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

Entry No. 37; Thomas John Emerson and Thomas Lewis Creemer Clarke; Collismoer, Buckland, Monachorum:- It was agreed between Colonel Emerson, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4 "to take stones" should be deleted, and that for "to graze 14 beasts" should be substituted "to graze 1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

Entry No. 61; Mrs Daphne Barbara Piper; Caradon, Buckland Monachorum:- It was agreed between Colonel Emerson, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4 "to take stones" should be deleted and that for "to graze 3 horses or cattle or 12 sheep" should be substituted "to graze 1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

Entry No. 116; Ernest Frederick Palmer; part Fillace Farm, Horrabridge:- It was agreed between Mr Palmer, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4 "Turbary, to take stones" should be deleted and that for "to graze 8 cattle 32 sheep" should be substituted "to graze 15 cattle or 15 ponies or 75 sheep". It was said at the hearing that the said substitution was in accordance with the NFU scale and was more flexible than the 8 cattle - 32 sheep originally registered. I have no note or recollection of anything being said about "to cut bracken and rushes".

Entry No. 125; James Edward Burton; Uppaton, Buckland Monachorum:- It was agreed between Mr Gunn, Mr Farrer and Mr Wotton that in column 4 for "to graze 10 cattle - 20 sheep" should be substituted "to graze 6 horses or 6 cattle or 30 sheep".



- 10 -

SECOND SCHEDULE
(Objections)

/Commoners A. No. 538:- That the number of animals registered is excessive and should be limited to one beast or 5 sheep per acre of land to which the rights is attached. Applicable to Entry Nos 17 and 19.

/Commoners A. No. 539:- That the rights as registered do not exist at all. Applicable to Entry Nos 1 and 13 others, see Fourth Schedule hereto.

/Estate T. No. 540:- That the rights registered as Common in the soil do not exist insofar as it applies to minerals. Applicable to Entry No. 14.

/Estate T. No. 541:- That the rights registered at the above numbers do not exist at all. Applicable to Nos 1 and 13 others, see Fourth Schedule hereto.

/Estate T. No. 542:- That the rights of turbary registered at the above numbers do not exist at all. Applicable to Entry Nos 14, 19, 20, 22, 25 and 26.

/Estate T. No. 543:- That the grazing rights requested at the above numbers are excessive. The numbers to be limited to 1 beast or 5 sheep per acre. Applicable to Entry Nos 17 and 19.

/Estate T. No. 1032:- That the rights registered at the above numbers do not exist at all. Applicable to Nos 35 and 58 others, see Fourth Schedule hereto.

/Estate T. No. 1033:- That the rights of turbary registered at the above numbers do not exist at all. Applicable to Entry Nos 43 and 24 others, see Fourth Schedule hereto.

/Estate T. No. 1034:- That the rights registered at the above numbers are excessive and should be reduced to the NFU scale. Applicable to Nos 38 and 15 others, see Fourth Schedule hereto.

/Estate T. No. 1035:- That the rights of Piscary registered at the above numbers do not exist at all. Applicable to Entry Nos 60 and 148.

/Estate T. No. 1036:- That the right of Estovers registered at the above number is confined to fern and bracken only. Applicable to Nos 60, 64, 118, 136, 137, 141 and 148.

/Commoners A. No. 1090:- That the right does not exist at all. Applicable to Nos 35 and 55 others, see Fourth Schedule hereto.

/Commoners A. No. 1091:- That the right should comprise fewer animals and be limited to one beast or 5 sheep per acre of land to which the Right is attached. Applicable to Nos 38 and 16 others, see Fourth Schedule hereto.



- 11 -

THIRD SCHEDULE
(Documents produced)

Part I: before 24 May 1982

Exclusive of letters sent by or on
behalf of the persons who attended on
24 May 1982

- (1) Letter dated 29 March 1982 from Clerk to Buckland Monachorum Parish Council about Entry No. 56 saying that a letter had been sent complying with the request that the right registered in respect of grazing should conform to the NFU recommendations.
- (2) Letter dated 13 April 1982 from Mrs Mary Quantrell on behalf of Mrs G Bidder (Entry No. 62) saying objections to grazing rights in her opinion area without good reason and enclosing extract from conveyance dated 1 November 1955 by Mrs A Roberts to Mr G Bidder.
- (3) Letter dated 26 April 1982 from Foot & Bowden, Solicitors of Plymouth on behalf of Mrs M L Frederick and the Trustees of Captain J C Frederick's Will Trust as owners of Higher and Lower Belliver Farm at Clearbrook saying that their clients withdraw their claim in respect of Entry No. 109 made by L Creber.
- (4) Letter dated 19 May 1982 from Wolferstans, Solicitor of Tavistock on behalf of Mrs K P Craig-Mooney about Entry No. 19 enclosing letter written on behalf of the Roborough Commons and The Maristow Estate withdrawing Objections.
- (5) Letter dated 18 May 1982 from R J Wilson about Entry No. 148 withdrawing Turbary, Estovers and Piscary.
- (6) Two letters dated 19 May 1982 from Michelmore Hughes, Chartered Surveyors of Tavistock on behalf of the Executors of P D Tuckett and W H Tuckett about Entry Nos 136 and 172.
- (7) Letter dated 22 May 1982 from W T Northmore about Entry Nos 97, 98 and 99 with letter of same date from W J Wotton to him about same Entry Nos.
- (8) Letter dated 23 May 1982 from Ann L Malcolm for Mr and Mrs T J Malcolm about Entry No. 171 (which with No. 172 replaced No. 137) with letter dated 22 May 1982 from W J Wotton to T J Malcolm about the same Entry No.
- (9) Letter dated 24 May 1982 from Lawrence Spear & Sons, Solicitors of Plymouth on behalf of Mr and Mrs J A Ashley about Entry No. 42 enclosing letters dated 17 and 19 May 1982 from Maristow Estate and Roborough Commoners.



- 12 -

Part II: between 24 May 1982 and 17 May 1983

Letter dated 21 June 1982 from Mrs M Quantrell requesting advice as to Entry No. 62.

Letter dated 23 November 1982 from Farrer & Co, Solicitors of London on behalf of the Maristow Estate Trustees enclosing agreements relating to Entry Nos 17, 20, and 30 being the same as those marked WJW/2 mentioned in Part III below.

Part III: at May 1983 hearing

WJW/1:- Bundle of signed agreements being all in the same typewritten form with some manuscript additions by which persons concerned with a registration to withdraw or substitute other claims therein specified and Roborough Monomers Association and Maristow Trustees express their agreement to the constitution relating to Entry Nos 1, 7, 9, 10, 12, 25, 28, 38, 39, 43, 44, 51, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64, 89, 93, 94, 96 and 114 (2 agreements), 116, 117, 121, 132, 138.

WJW/2:- Similar to those above mentioned relating to Entry Nos 17, 20, 22 and 30.

--

WJW/4:- Letter dated 30 June 1982 from Michael Reece to Mr Wotton saying he purchased Lechmere (Entry No. 121) from Mr Jewson and claiming on a 2 acre plot of land.



- 13 -

FOURTH SCHEDULE
(Rights Section registrations and decision)

No. 1

Thomas Emanuel: Bedford House, Bedford Bridge, in Horrabridge.

Objections: R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

First Schedule: WJW/1 of 29 June 1982, signed by P G F Seldon.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "6 head of cattle" substitute "5 beasts or 5 horses or 25 sheep".

No. 2

Cancelled (superseded by No. 153, see below).

No. 3

Elsie Gweneth Evans; Sanguines, Milton, Miltoncoombe, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections: R/Commoners A. No. 539. R/Estate T. No. 541.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- No peat on Unit Land; never understood how claim came to be made.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 4

Final

No. 5

Eric Usher Channon and Joyce Channon; Wyford, Axtown, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections: R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support.

W:- The Association (and he understood also the Maristow Estate) now agree that there is a right to graze for animals claimed other than goats, but object right to take stone.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "or 3 goats", and delete "To take stone".



o. 6

inal

o. 7

ohn Douglas Parkinson and Mary Christina Parkinson; Mabor Farm, Yelverton, in
uckland Monachorum.

bjections:- none except that deemed by regulation 7 of the Commons Commissioners
regulations 1971 by reason of the conflict between this registration and that at
ntry No. 141.

or reasons see below under heading No. 141.

ONFIRMATION REFUSED.

o. 8

inal

o. 9

inal

ote:- WJW/1 signed 28 June 1982 by J R Cooke-Hurle increasing numbers is ineffec-
ive because registration became final on 1 August 1973 (see Entry No.169), and
herefore is in these proceedings not within the jurisdiction of a Commons
ommissioner.

o. 10

enys Norman Bailie Richards; Greenmantle, Yelverton, in Buckland Monachorum.

bjections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

JW/1:- Signed D N B Richards on 26 June 1982.

ONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4, for "3 sheep or 1 pony To take stones",
ubstituted "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".



- 15 -

No. 11

Conrad Young and Beatrice Lilian Young; Crossways, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- The Association have had no answer to letters; they concede a right of grazing according to acreage but do not concede taking stone; looking at map mentioned in registration, he estimated Crossways at about 2 acres and therefore suggested 2 units.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "To take stone", and for "17 horses" substitute "2 beasts or 2 horses or 10 sheep".

No. 12

Arthur Leo Price; Moorland Cottage, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- The Association accept the grazing but not the taking stones.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "To take stones".

No. 13

Howard Rogers and Agnes Mary Rogers; Devon Park, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- The Association now accept grazing but not taking stones; although the grazing might be reduced to 4 NFU units, it seems preferable to leave it as it is, at "5 ponies or 25 sheep".

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "to take stones".

No. 14

Richard Francis White; Hams and Waters, Milton Combe, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Estate T, No. 540. R/Estate T, No. 542.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Persons have not exercised rights of turbary or common in the soil.



- 16 -

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "turbary" and delete "Common in the soil".

No. 15

Patrick Reginald Greeves and Sheila Anne Greeves; Summer Leas, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

First Schedule.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "10 ponies or bullocks and 50 sheep" substitute "1 horse or 1 beast or 6 sheep".

No. 16

Final.

No. 17

Harry Cann; Harrabeer House, Yelverton, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 538. R/Estate T, No. 543.

WJW/2 of 30 June 1982 signed by H Cann.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "20 cattle" substitute "14 beasts or 14 horses or 70 sheep".

No. 18

Frederick Blatchford Dawe; Part Ash Farm in Whitchurch.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

No representation or evidence or argument in support.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 19

Kathleen Patricia Craig Mooney; Uphill Farm, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 538. R/Estate T, No. 542. R/Estate T, No. 543.

Letter mentioned in paragraph (4) of Part I of Third Schedule.

CONFIRM without any modification.



- 17 -

No. 20

Reginald George Bidder; part Walkham Farm, in Horrabridge.

Objection:- R/Estate T, No. 542.

WJW/2 of 5 July 1982 signed by R G Bidder.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stone" and for "To graze 14 cattle, 3 ponies, 35 sheep" substitute "To graze 17 beasts or 17 horses or 85 sheep".

No. 21

The Golf Club: (Yelverton); land at the Club in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

T:- In about March 1982, the Association and the Estate verbally agreed to compromise as below.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To graze 5 ponies, bullocks or cows or 30 sheep, to take stone" substitute "To graze 4 beasts or 4 horses or 20 sheep".

No. 22

William Frederick Bidder and Reginald George Bidder; Harrabeer Farm, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Estate T, No. 542.

WJW/2 of 5 July 1982 signed by W F and R G Bidder.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stone", and for "To graze 10 cattle, 3 ponies, 50 sheep" substitute "To graze 9 beasts or 9 horses or 45 sheep".

No. 23

Final.

No. 24

Cancelled 23/6/71.



- 18 -

No. 25

Mary Horn; Alston Farm, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- R/Estate T, No. 542.

WJW/1 of 25 June 1982 signed by J E Spry.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION delete "Turbary To take stones", and for "To graze 40 cattle, 16 ponies 300 sheep" substitute "To graze 116 beasts or 116 horses or 580 sheep".

No. 26

Charles John Horn; Bloiscombe Farm in Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- R/Estate T, No. 542.

T:- Bloiscombe Farm has been bought by Maristow Estate who are farming it themselves and agree the NFU scale for 190 acres and the deletion of turbary and stone.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "90 cattle, 20 ponies, 400 sheep Turbary" substitute "190 beasts or 190 horses or 950 sheep" and delete "To take stones".

No. 27

Final.

No. 28

Valerie Patricia Rendle and Richard Brutton Ford; Greystones, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

WJW/1 of 30 June 1982 signed by Patricia Rendle.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To graze 3 horses, Estovers, Turbary, To take stones" substitute "To graze 1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep To cut rushes and bracken".

No. 29

Final.

No. 30

Final. Note:- WJW/2 of 2 July 1982 signed by Jean Trahair increasing numbers is ineffective because registration became final on 1 August 1973 (see Entry No. 169), and therefore is in these proceedings not within the jurisdiction of a Commons Commissioner.



- 19 -

No. 31

Luther Holden Alder Watson; Edgemoor in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

First Schedule and WJW/1 of 23 June 1982 signed by L H A Watson.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To take stones To graze 14 beasts substitute "To graze 1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

No. 32

John Irwin Mudge; Courtenay Brook Farm, Tavistock.

Objection:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 33

Roger Grenville Gifford; Watersmeet, West Down, in Whitchurch.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 539. R/Estate T, No. 541.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 34

Final.

No. 35

Richard Brutton Ford and Vera Florence Ford; Furzeleigh, Golf Links Road, Yelverton, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, no. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

First Schedule.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Estovers, turbary, to take stones, to graze 2 ponies" substitute "To graze 1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

No. 36

Final.

No. 37

Thomas John Emerson and Thomas Lewis Creemer Clarke; Collismoer in Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1032, R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

First Schedule.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To take stones To graze 14 beasts" substitute "To graze 1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

No. 38

William John Ellis and Arthur William Cumbe Lyddon, Samuel Albert West (personal representatives of William Henry Ellis); Rideout Lake Farm in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

WJW/1 of 24 June 1982 signed by W J Ellis.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To graze 50 cattle 250 sheep 50 ponies or a reasonable combination of all three" substitute "To graze 50 cattle or 50 ponies or 250 sheep".

No. 39

Rose Kathleen Bowering; Heatherleigh Golf Links Road, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

WJW/1 of 20 June 1982 signed by R K Bowering.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Estovers, Turbary, To take stones, To graze 2 ponies" substitute "To graze 1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 40

Richard George Williams and James William Williams; Urgles Farm in Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.



- 21 -

No. 41

Emily Northmore; Yeoland Farm, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

No representation. T:- no agreement has been reached; Yeoland Farm is newly built with no facilities for burning peat. Mr Palmer (18 May) said he had spoken on the telephone to Mr Austin Northmore son of Mrs Emily Northmore (she is elderly) and told him about the then hearing. Mr A Northmore said (in effect) that as to turbary he was calling it a day and was not going to pursue it but for grazing he wanted the NFU scale. Later in the hearing Mr Palmer said that he thought Yeoland Farm is about 114 acres and in effect suggested the modification below.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Turbary, Estovers, To take stones, To cut bracken and rushes, To graze 50 cattle, 50 ponies, 200 sheep" substitute "To cut bracken and rushes, To graze 114 beasts or 114 horses or 570 sheep".

No. 42

Mary Janet Lawry; Fratton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

Letter mentioned in paragraph (7) of Part I of the Third Schedule. W:- He had never heard of any grazing from this land: there are a number of houses there some more than 20 years old; it was formerly part of Yeoland Farm mentioned at Entry No. 41.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Turbary, Estovers, To take stones, To graze 2 ponies" substitute "To take stones, To graze 1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 43

John Spry: Fairtown Farm, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

WJW/1 of 25 June 1982 signed by J E Spry.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones", and for "To graze 2 ponies 10 cattle 50 sheep" substitute "To graze 15 beasts or 15 horses or 75 sheep".

No. 44

Final.

Note:- WJW/1 signed by E G Trathen on 17 June 1982 is ineffective because registration became final on 1 August 1973, see No. 9 above.



- 22 -

No. 45

Stanley Herbert Fielder ; Bucktor in Whitchurch.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 46

Albert Richard Bellamy and Olive Valentine Bellamy; Westlands, Grenofen, Whitchurch.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 47 (replaced by Nos. 180 and 181 replacing No 167 and by Nos 190 and 191 replacing No. 168)

Lionel Pearse: Walreddon Farm, in Whitchurch.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. T:- The Association has no record of rights attached to this Farm; as far as he knew rights from it has never been exercised; the land is not in Buckland Monachorum or Bickleigh.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

Nos. 48 and 49

Final.

No. 50

Reginald Frederick Brooker; Netherton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- The Association has no record of rights attached to this land; as far as he knew rights had not been exercised

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 51

Gladys May Fitzmaurice; Links Lodge, Yelverton in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

WJW/1 of 27 June 1982 signed by Mary Thompson. T:- Suggest cut bracken for estovers.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To graze 2 ponies, Turbary, Estovers" substitute "To cut bracken, To graze 1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 52

Hugh Johnson; Braemar Cottage, Yelverton, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

WJW/1 of 24 June 1982 signed by H Johnson, withdrawing all rights.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 53

Geoffrey Frank Walford; Leys Meadows, Milton Coombe, in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- The claim is excessive and should be reduced to 5 sheep or 1 horse or 1 beast and stone and turbary deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To graze 6 sheep 3 ponies and 3 bullocks, To take stone, Turbary" substitute "To graze 1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

Nos. 54 and 55

Final.

No. 56

Buckland Monachorum Parish Council; Warren Field in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

Paper (yellow) dated 21 October 1972 addressed to Devon County Council and signed by E N Williams as to Objection No. 1091 agreeing amendment "to read 1 bullock or horse or 7 sheep". Letter mentioned in paragraph (1) of Part I of Third Schedule. W:- The land is partly a cemetery, and partly a village green and there has been no grazing from it.



- 24 -

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4, for "3 horses or 3 cattle or 12 sheep" substitute "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 57

Joseph Daniels; Kern Tor, Golf Links Road in Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representations and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Suggest delete stones and reduce to 1 unit.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "To take stone" and for "Two ponies and/or horses" substitute "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 58

Basil John Rider and Kathleen Joan Rider; Cedar Cottage, The Glade, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

WJW/l signed by B J Rider and Joan Rider on 21 June 1982, "merely wished to establish right ... conserved and managed correctly ... no longer be bothered to fight against the depredation ...".

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 59

Algernon Charles Langton; Rock Hotel, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

WJW/l signed (illegible) 26 June 1983 "qualifying area 14 acre". W:- The land is an hotel.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "2 or 3 ponies 3 cattle", substitute "14 beasts or 14 horses or 70 sheep".

No. 60

John Michael Bennett; Coleraine, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1035. M/Estate T, No. 1036.



- 25 -

W:- The land is a very small area.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary Estovers Piscary To take stone".

No. 61

Daphne Barbara Piper; Caradon, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1032.

First Schedule.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "To take stone", and for "3 horses or cattle or 12 sheep" substitute "1 cow or 1 horse or 6 sheep".

No. 62

Gertrude Bidder; River Meadow House, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

Letters mentioned in paragraphs (2) of Part I and (1) of Part II of Third Schedule. WJW/1 signed G Bidder 30 June 1982.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "12 bullocks or 24 sheep", substitute "5 beasts or 5 horses or 25 sheep".

No. 63

Petter William Craddock Hellings; Leys, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate No, 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

WJW/1 signed P W C Hellings 19 June 1982.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stone" and for "30 sheep 30 cattle" substitute "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 64

Robert John Francis Homfray Pinsent; Higherfield, Horrabridge.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1036.

WJW/1 signed R J F H Pinsent 16 June 1982.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary Estovers", and for "20 sheep or 4 cattle or 4 ponies" substitute "3 beasts or 3 horses or 15 sheep".

No. 65

Norman Spencer; Sledmere, Whistle Down, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Does not know of any grazing from this land. Association has no record of any grazing.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 66

Jessie Spink; Green Lane, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Association will agree 1 horse or 1 beast or 5 sheep if take stones deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "To take stones" and for "2 ponies" substitute "1 horse or 1 beast or 5 sheep".

No. 67

Final.

No. 68

Roborough Estate Trustees and Frederick James Ellis; Coombe Farm, Bickleigh.

Objections:- R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Having looked at map mentioned in registration, 90 acres as the area of the land is suggested.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "120 units of NFU Scale" substitute "90 units NFU Scale".

Nos. 69, 70, 71, 72 (replaced by Nos 177 and 178), 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83 and 84.

Final.



- 27 -

No. 85

Albert Thomas Redden; Sunnymead, Axtown, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Turbary should be deleted and also in the absence of evidence taking stone.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stone".

No. 86

Dorothy Ann Williams; Little Chub Tor, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1032.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- The Maristow Estate agree grazing on NFU Scale if Turbary and Estovers be deleted. Cut bracken would be alright.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4, for "Estovers Turbary", substitute "To cut bracken".

No. 87

Douglas Thomas Annear and Beryl Louise Annear; West Chubb, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- As for No. 86.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Turbary Estovers" substitute "To cut bracken".

No. 88

Norman Kenneth Skelley; Marwood Farm, Horrabridge.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

W:- The substance of the Objection is the registration is ambiguous by reason of the words "and ... or any combination ..."; it should be as below.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "To graze 31 cattle and 155 sheep or any combination based on 1 beast = 5 sheep" substitute "To graze 31 beasts or 31 horses or 155 sheep".



- 28 -

No. 89

Ivy Gertrude Hillson and Richard Henry Hillson; Coppicetown Farm, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

WJW/1 signed R H Hillson 19 June 1983. W:- agreement provides for deletion of Turbary with a number increase.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary" and for "40 cattle and 300 sheep", substitute "114 beasts or 114 horses or 570 sheep".

No. 90

Henry Higman Colton; part Netherton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

W:- The land is about 7 acres.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones", and for "35 sheep" substitute "7 beasts or 7 horses or 35 sheep".

No. 91

William Henry Colton and Mabel Colton; part Netherton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

W:- The land is about 12 acres; both Turbary and taking stones should be deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones", and for "8 cattle and 20 sheep" substitute "12 beasts or 12 horses or 60 sheep".

No. 92

Final.

No. 93

George Sydney Lawrie Burroughes and Zara Burroughes; Bucktor, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

WJW/1 signed by David Caudwell on 25 June 1982 with numbers for grazing left blank.

W:- The blank was intended to indicate an agreed nil grazing.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 94

Harold Alfred Butland and Winifred Ellen Butland; Caxton Farm and part Netherton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

WJW/1 signed H D Butland 24 June 1982.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones" and for 50 cattle 10 ponies 215 sheep", substitute "95 beasts or 95 horses or 475 sheep".

No. 95

Arthur Cole; Cowards Lake Farm, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

Mr Cole agreed the deletion of Turbary and taking stones, and he and Mr Wotton agreed on basis of 50 acres to figures below.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones" and for "7 ponies 10 cattle 50 sheep", substitute "50 beasts or 50 horses or 250 sheep".

No. 96

Norman Brown Youldon; land at North Road, Yelverton, Horrabridge.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

WJW/1 signed G M R Youldon 21 June 1982 "no beasts no horses no sheep".

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 97

William Thomas Mark Northmore; Torr Farm, part Rooksmoor and at Buckland, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

Letter mentioned in paragraph (7) of Part I of Third Schedule, by which Mr Northmore agreed to limit his grazing rights to NFU Scale for 55.133 acres. W:- The meaning being for all his registrations (Nos. 97, 98 and 99); between Nos 97, 98 and 99 apportionment is 44, 4, 7: Turbary and stone should be deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones", and for "4 ponies 20 cattle 100 sheep" substitute "44 beasts or 44 horses or 220 sheep".

No. 98

William Thomas Mark Northmore; part Torr, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

See No. 97 above.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stone", and for "4 cattle", substitute "4 beasts or 4 horses or 20 sheep".

No. 99

William Thomas Mark Northmore; land adjoining Torr, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

See No. 97 above.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones", and for "2 ponies 25 sheep", substitute "7 beasts or 7 horses or 35 sheep".

No. 100

Final.

No. 101

Bertie Hartland Worden; Clearbrook, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

W:- In addition to turbary, stones should be deleted; numbers should be as below.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stones", and for "15 sheep", substitute "3 beasts or 3 horses or 15 sheep".

No. 102

Bertie Hartland Worden; Hooe Meavy, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- a Right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.



- 31 -

No. 103

Arthur Bernard Jenkins; Goodameavy Barton, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- the land is some distance away on the other side of the River Meavy.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 104

Michael Burnard Fell; Willake, Goodameavy, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 105

William Thomas Mark Northmore; part Lovaton, OS Nos 734 etc, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As for No. 104.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 106

Evelyn Christine Worden; land at Hooe Meavy, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As for No. 104.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 107

William Thomas Mark Northmore; part Lovaton, OS No. 735 etc, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As for No. 104.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.



- 32 -

No. 108

Louis Creber; land at Clearbrook, OS Nos 6551 etc, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As for No 104.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 109

Louis Creber; Belliver Farm, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As mentioned in paragraph (3) of Part I of Third Schedule. W:- a Right to
stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 110

Mark Charles Northmore; Sortridge, Horrabridge.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- land is not in
Bickleigh or in Buckland Monachorum; he had never known any grazing from it.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 111

Christopher Samuel Chaffe; Anderton Farm, Whitchurch.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1032.

As for No. 104.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 112

Henry Harvie Cole; Greenwell and Lovaton, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As for No. 104.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.



- 33 -

No. 113

William Hedley Benney; The Grove, Horrabridge.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Land is outside parish, he had never known any grazing from it; no record in the Association's books of rights.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 114

Nora Veale; Fillace, Horrabridge.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

WJW/1 signed by N R Veale in -. -. 1982, also signed E F Palmer (occupier) 26 June 1982. Mr Palmer said he was of the land her tenant.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary to take stones" and for "15 cattle, 5 ponies, 75 sheep" substitute "29 beasts or 29 horses or 145 sheep".

No. 115 (replaced by Nos 174 and 175)

Ernest Frederick Palmer (replaced by William Robert James Watson and E F Palmer); part Callisham, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

Mr Palmer said he had about 7 years ago sold the land mentioned in Entry No. 175, and the words "to take stones, cut bracken and rushes" in such entry, not being in Entry No. 115 which could not be right. W:- original entry at 115 was "to stray" and nothing else.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED

No. 116

Ernest Frederick Palmer; part Fillace Farm, Horrabridge.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

First Schedule. WJW/1 signed E F Palmer 19 June 1982.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary to take stones", and for "8 cattle, 32 sheep" substitute "14 beasts, or 14 horses or 70 sheep".

No. 117 (replaced in 1981 by Nos 183 and 184)

Michel Ollis and Margaret Le Ollis (replaced in 1981 by Robert E Skelley and by Anthony Reginald Bill and Ruth Helen Bill); Mayes Farm, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.



- 34 -

WJW/1 signed by Anthony R Bill 30 June 1982 for "No. 117" but not countersigned on behalf of Roborough Commoners or Maristow Estate. W:- The basis of the objection was that the bulk of the No. 117 land (as registered in 1968) is in Meavy and therefore not qualifying for grazing, but there was a little piece of such land which although east of the River Meavy is in Buckland Monachorum and the Association concedes that this piece qualifies; the 183 and 184 lands as registered in 1981 comprise for 184 (Messrs Bill) the farmhouse and orchard (at the most 5 acres) some of which is or may be in Buckland Monachorum and qualifies to the extent (one unit) specified in WJW/1.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED but liberty to apply as mentioned in earlier in this decision.

No. 118

Charles Stanley Honey; Coombe Farm, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1036.

NO representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- The words "or any proportionate in combination" are confusing.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4, delete "Estovers Turbary", and for "or 31 ponies or (or any proportionate combination) 31 cattle" substitute "or 31 horses or 31 beasts".

No. 119

Norman Kenneth Skelley; Woodtown Farm, Whitchurch.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- Farm outside parish; right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 120

Final.

No. 121

Redmond Marshall Jewson; Lechmere, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

WJW/1 signed Michael W Reece 30 June 1982. W:- understood that Mr Reece bought from Mr Jewson, produces WJW/4 mentioned in Part III of Third Schedule.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Estovers Turbary to take stones", and for "3 horses, 2 sheep, 5 cows or any combination totalling 10 animals" substitute "2 beasts or 2 horses or 10 sheep".

No. 122

John E Franklin and Jane C Franklin; Middle Chubb Torr, Buckland Monachorum.



- 35 -

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- The Association accepts 1 NFU unit.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Estovers Turbary" and for "2 ponies or horses", substitute "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 123

Phyllis Margaret Groom; Higher Chubb Torr, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- As for No. 122.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Estovers Turbary" and for "2 ponies or 2 horses" substitute "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 124

Ernest Frederick Palmer; Glebe Land, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

Not supported by Mr Palmer. W:- Stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 125

James Edward Burton; Uppaton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

First Schedule.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "10 cattle, 20 sheep" substitute "6 horses or 6 cattle or 30 sheep".

No. 126

Ernest Frederick Palmer; Callisham, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

Mr Palmer said he withdraws (to stray).

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 127

Marmaduke Augustus Holmes; Haslemere, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.



- 36 -

W:- The Association agree "one beast", the land being a very small piece; but after discussion with Mr Farrer (on behalf of Maristow Estate) considers stones should be deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "to take stones".

No. 128

Phyllis Barlow; Yeoland Down, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- Association concede 1 NFU unit, but not stones.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "to take stones", and for "3 ponies or bullocks" substitute "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 129

Charles Henry Paul Pearn and Mildren Eileen Pearn; Chambord, Green Lane, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- Association after discussion with Mr Farrer on behalf of Maristow Estate concede 1 NFU unit if turbary deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary", and for "1 pony 3 sheep" substitute "1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 130

James Roger Davies; East Crowndale Farm and Rixhill,, Whitchurch and Tavistock.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- Right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 131

John Doidge; Tor Grove, Higher Tor, Middle Tor and Lower Tor, Whitchurch.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- Right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 132

Ernest Richard Dickinson; Brigadoon, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.



WJW/l signed R Dickinson 22 June 1982.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary to take stones", and for "2 ponies" substitute 1 beast or 1 horse or 5 sheep".

No. 133

Richard Frank Skinner; Bridgehill Farm, Whitchurch and Tavistock.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 134

Peter George Dean and Patricia Doris Dean; Durance Farm, Lovaton, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As at No. 133.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 135

Peter George Dean and Patricia Doris Dean; Down Farm, Lovaton, Meavy.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As at No. 133.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 136

Executors of Philip Debell Tuckett deceased; Ludbrook, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1036.

Letters mentioned in paragraph (6) of Part I of Third Schedule. Mr Colville said Mr Tuckett has agreed not to pursue claim for turbary or estovers except for fern or bracken.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Estovers Turbary" substitute "to cut fern or bracken", and for "30 cattle 25 ponies 100 sheep" substitute 60 units NFU scale".

No. 137 (replaced by Nos 171 and 172)

Executors of Philip Debell deceased (replaced by Thomas Julian Malcolm and Ann Lily Malcolm, and by Philip Develt Tuckett): Berrator Farm, Buckland Monachorum.



Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1034. M/Estate T, No. 1036.
R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

Letter mentioned in paragraph (8) of Part I of the Third Schedule. Mr Colville said (17 May) Mr Tuckett has agreed not to pursue claim for turbary estovers except for bracken. W(18 May):- said letter agrees reduction for No. 172 to 9 units from what was in effect 12 units, that is by $\frac{1}{4}$: a similar reduction for No. 171 to 36 units from what was in effect 48 units would be appropriate.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Turbary Estovers" in Nos 171 and 172, substitute "to cut bracken", for "48 cattle 32 ponies, 120 sheep" in No. 171 substitute "36 units NFU scale", and for "12 cattle 8 ponies, 30 sheep" in No. 172 substitute "9 units NFU scale".

No. 138

Maurice Nicholas and Beatrice Mavis Joan Nicholas; part Down Lane Farm, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

WJW/1 signed M Nicholas 19 June 1982.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary" and for "20 Ponies, Horses Cattle or Sheep or any combination thereof" substitute "7 beasts or 7 horses or 35 sheep".

No. 139

Cynthia Evelyn Mary Hodgson; land at Rock, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- Notwithstanding objections, the Association and (so he understood) the Maristow Estate withdraw.

CONFIRM without any modification.

No. 140

Edwin James Pearce and Hilda Pearce; Down Lane Farm, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

W:- Should be 2 NFU units.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary" and for "12 sheep", substitute "2 beasts or 2 horses or 10 sheep".

No. 141

John Douglas Parkinson and Mary Christina Parkinson; Mabor Farm, Clearbrook, Buckland Monachorum.



- 39 -

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1036. Deemed objection by regulation 7 of the Commons Commissioner Regulations 1971 by reason of the conflict between this registration and that at Entry No. 7.

WJW/l signed J D Parkinson 26 June 1982, see under No. 7 above.

W:- increase because of all negotiations have proceeded on basis of formula 1 cattle, 1 horse, 5 sheep per qualifying acre.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 for "Estovers Turbary" substitute "to cut bracken" and for "50 ponies and/or cattle or 150 sheep" substitute "50 beasts or 50 horses or 250 sheep".

No. 142

Spencer John Spencer Draper; land adjoining Who'd Have Thought It Inn, Milton Combe, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

W:- The land is about 2 miles away; taking stones is inappropriate and for uniformity should be deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary" and delete "To take stone".

No. 143

Ivor Phillips; Ash Mill and part Ash Lands, Grenofen, Whitchurch; 8 Beechfield Avenue, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum; The Corner, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum; and 1A Weston Park Road, Plymouth.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

Evidence and arguments for and against this registration.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 144

Ivor Phillips; in gross ("Man of Devon").

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

Evidence and arguments for and against this registration.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 145

Denis Walker; Field OS Nos 6094 and 5901, Walkhampton.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.



No. 146

Final.

No. 147

John Edward Francis and Ivy Burt Francis; The Retreat, Yelverton, Horrabridge.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- This may be confirmed without any modification.

CONFIRM without any modification.

No. 148

Ronald John Wilson; Harwood House, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1033. M/Estate T, No. 1035. M/Estate T, No. 1036.

Letter paragraph (5) of Part I of Third Schedule. W:- The land is about 15 acres; in view of letter 15 units agreeable but taking stones should be deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary Estovers Piscary To take stones" and for "6 cattle 4 horses 20 sheep" substitute "15 beasts or 15 horses or 75 sheep".

No. 149

Geoffrey Alan Seward; Abbey Leat, Milton Combe, Buckland Monachorum.

Objection:- M/Estate T, No. 1033.

W:- In addition to turbary also should be deleted taking stones.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary To take stone".

No. 150

Sileen Margery Hallett; Everleigh, Harrowbeer, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- The land comprises a house and garden with no apparent grazing need; he knew of no exercise of rights and the Association had no record.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 151

Brian Berson Walton and Jennifer Ann Walton; Clearbrook Cottage, Clearbrook, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.



- 41 -

W:- The Association withdraws their Objection because there is with the cottage a fair bit of land.

CONFIRM without any modification.

No. 152

John Malcolm Brindle and Christine Mary Brindle; Willowby House, Meavy Lane, Yelverton, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1034. R/Commoners A, No. 1091.

W:- Upon a consideration of the map mentioned in the registration, the land appears to be about 3 acres; turbary should be deleted.

CONFIRM with MODIFICATION in column 4 delete "Turbary" and for "4 cattle or 16 sheep" substitute "3 beasts or 3 horses or 15 sheep".

No. 153

Final.

No. 154

Charles Lawrence Brown; Challoch, Crapstone, Buckland Monachorum.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

W:- The land is a house and garden with no apparent need for grazing.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 155

Final.

No. 156

Cancelled 31/7/73.

No. 157

Alfred Cory Mortimore; Brook Mill Farm, Tavistock.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Right to stray not registrable.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 158

Alfred Cory Mortimore; Brook Mill Farm (as in No. 157).



- 42 -

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

No representation and no evidence or argument in support. W:- Brook Mill Farm is not in Buckland Monachorum or Bickleigh, and does not qualify.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 159

Lewis Dickinson, Brook Farm (Brook House), Tavistock.

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As at No. 158.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

No. 160

Lewis Dickinson: Brook Farm (as in No. 159)..

Objections:- M/Estate T, No. 1032. R/Commoners A, No. 1090.

As at No. 157.

CONFIRMATION REFUSED.

Nos 161, 162, 163, 164 and 165

Cancelled 11/7/73.

No. 166

Not effective.

No. 167 (replaced by Nos 180 and 181) and 168 (replaced by Nos 190 and 191)

See No. 47.

No. 169

Lists final registrations.

Nos 170, 173, 176, 179, 182 and 189

Not effective.

Nos 171 and 172

See No. 137.

Nos 174 and 175

See No. 115.



Nos 177 and 178

See No. 72.

Nos 180 and 181

See No. 167 and No. 47.

Nos 183 and 184

See No. 117.

Nos 185, 186, 187 and 188

No information available at the hearing about these registrations if any there be so numbered.

Nos 190 and 191

See No. 168 and No. 47.

Dated the 20th day of June 1984

A. A. Baden Fuller.

Commons Commissioner