COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965



Reference No. 214/U/27

In the Matter of Corndell Green, North Waltham, Hampshire

DECISION

This reference relates to the question of the ownership of land known as Corndell Green, North Waltham, being the land comprised in the Land Section of Register Unit No.VG.40 in the Register of Town or Village Greens maintained by the Hampshire County Council of which no person is registered under section 4 of the Commons Registration Act 1965 as the owner.

Following upon the public notice of this reference no person claimed to be the freehold owner of the land in question, but Mr B J Lloyd claimed to have information as to its ownership.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the question of the ownership of the land at Winchester on 25 October 1983. At the hearing the North Waltham Parish Council was represented by Mrs A H Rumble, its Clerk, who informed me that she had no evidence as to the ownership of the land in question.

Mr Lloyd helpfully put his argument into writing, and a copy of it is attached to this decision.

In the absence of any evidence I am not satisfied that any person is the owner of the land, and I shall accordingly direct the Hampshire County Council, as registration authority, to register the North Waltham Parish Council as the owner of the land under section 8 (3) of the Act of 1965.

I am required by regulation 30 (1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

2nd

day of November

1987

Chief Commons Commissioner

researching into the purpose of stone carving

499

Commons appeal Winchester 1983

All type is copied from gravestones and it has an entirely different meaning to that given in the dictionaries. The Deaf and Dumb make the shapes of the Ogham alphabet as found on the Rhunes in Scotland and hav not communication barriers anywhere in the world.

The Peerage, Legal profession and the High Priests use a simpler language that makes them mentally defficient because they cannot understant the Common language as used in Common Prayer, in Religions the compulsory subject in education and as used by the House of Commons to make laws so they openly break the laws of society and teach their language to other to increase crime until nations become extinct.

The matter before us today concerns the ownership of Common Lands.

I understand that all commoners speak the Common language and that not effort has been made of any kind to notify the commoners that this meeting is taking place with the result that not a single commoner is here today

As the Master Mason of Bedwyn a position that was originally know as King's Mason I beg to inform you that I rule the world with the ornament of architecture in the Common language without thought of financial gain.

It would surely be correct that I claim this Common Land for all commoners who speak the common language and in general they usual do not read or write.

It is obvious that the Commoners shall not use the ownership of the Common Land for financial gain, merely to create law and order

a most serious problem exists in that the Commissioner in charge of the hearing has no knowledge of the Common Language of the Commoner. It is usual for a man who has been educated in the simpler academic language to state that he has no knowledge of the language of the Commoner and because he does not understand it, it does not exist. He therefore as is customary will state that he ignores article 24 of the Church of England and because some 97% of the nation have been brainwashed in the simpler academic language the simpler academic language is in order to be used.

But one thing is forgetten. All the extinct civilisations tell us in the Common Language that the language of the Peerage, High Priests and Legal Profession causes crime and the downfall of man. Technically in the laws of sound the academic language ing slightly higher pitched and slightly faster and slightly more eloquent will easily prove that the academic language even when wrong will prove itself right even when it is the Common Language is Right.

If any problem exists in deciding that the illiterate commone own these lands then we must assemble another court of enquiry composed entirely of the 97% of the nation who have not been brainwashed in the academic language that causes the downfall of man