

Reference No. 214/U/38

In the Matter of Droxford Village Green, Droxford, Hampshire

## DECISION

This reference relates to the question of the ownership of land known as Droxford Village Green, Droxford being the land comprised in the Land Section of Register Unit No. VG 108 in the Register of Town or Village Greens maintained by the Hampshire County Council of which no person is registered under section 4 of the Commons Registration Act 1965 as the owner.

Following upon the public notice of this reference the Droxford Parish Courcil claimed to be the freehold owner of the land in question, and Mr B J Lloyd claimed to have information as to its ownership.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the question of the ownership of the land at Winchester on 26 October 1983.

At the hearing the Parish Council was represented by Mr R Peckham, one of its members.

The land in question with other adjoining land was conveyed to the Parish Council by a Conveyance made 16 September 1953 berween (1) The Church Commissioners for England, Lords of the Manor of Droxford (2) The Droxford Parish Council.

Mr Lloyd kindly put his argument into writing, and a copy of it is attached to this decision.


On the evidence before me I am satisfied that the Droxford Parish Council is the owner of the land, and I shall accordingly direct the Hampshire County Council, as registration authority, to register the Parish Council as the owner of the land under section 8(2) of the Act of 1965.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971. to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

day of Novemb

1983



518.

## Wedwyn Stone Museum

researching into the purpose of stone carving

sed in religion and alter it for their own financial gain.

The language taught in schools was intended for the High Priests and Peerage as a simplified language to enable them to administer religion out not to understand the complex conjugation of the Common Tongue as

The Legal profession has been educated in the same language to settle disputes betweenthose educated in that language and we note that the primament of their apparel, their courts and the places where they are educated and their homes and their tombs—all indicate that the language of the legal profession is EVIL to man and from the extinct civilisations we read that it causes crime and nations to decline and the decline since that been taught in Britain forcibly since 1870 is very apparent as is the increase in crime

According to Article 24 of the Church of England we should use a ommon tongue and we are told that the Scribes and Pharisees could not inderstand Jesus Christ when he used it. We can see the Common Tongue oncealed in all alphabets that originate from gravestones and the Deaf ind Dumb have no communication barriers in the world because they make he shapes of the OGHAM alphabet with their fingers.

The House of Commons naturally make laws in the Common Tongue and aws are ratified by the Lords in their language in which the legal rofession acts. But all the ciphers and ceremony of the state indicate hat the Common Language of the Master Mason rules all as it is the only evice for creating law and order.

As no attempt has been made to notify the Common People who speakene Common Language of the world it appear right and correct for the aster Mason to claim all of these lands on behalf of the Commoners the ajority of whom can neither read nor write.

I raise no objection to the parish Councils holding these lands for the commoners providing that those on the parish Council have all been ducated in accordance with Para 25 (2) of the 1944 education act and I may lid as far as I know all those who have attended state schools have been ducated contra to this act.

Ben J. Lloyd. Master Mason